One Truckee River Core Planning Team Meeting

Start time: 1:05 p.m.

Attendees:

- Barbara Rice, NPS
- Alicia Reban, NLT
- Lynda Nelson, NLT
- Christi Cakiroglu, KTMB
- Gabrielle Enfield, WC
- Kim Mazeres, retired TMWA
- Lynn Zonge, RCI
- Kara Steeland, TMWA
- Kim Borgzinner, NDEP
- Birgit Henson, NDEP
- Mickey Hazelwood, TNC
- Danielle Henderson, Flood Project
- Wade Clark, RPD
- Cheryl Surface, WC
- Sheila Leslie, WC
- Luke Franklin, WC Health
- Susan Lynn, TRF
- Alex Hoeft, NLT
- Darrin Price, SVGID
- Keith Fuetsch, Renown
- Kathy Clewett, City of Sparks
- John Enloe, TMWA
- Donna Marie Noel, Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe
- David Bobzien, City of Reno
- Lindsey Panton, KTMB
- Nick Tscheekar, Community Foundation of Western Nevada

Welcome & Meeting Objectives

Review recommendations from working group so everyone's clear on OTR recommendations. Idea is to continue with collaborative model. (Other options are lead entity with advisory council; project sponsor with little collaboration.)

Organizing For Success

Recommendations from working group: Explore collaborative model and invest in it for 18 months. Evaluate from there. Success of collaborative will be based on criteria. Within 18-month timeline, analyze. Working model to be invested in and explored.

• AB379 implementation: Much to happen before district is formed. Doesn't make sense to wait for implementation at this time.

One Truckee River Partnership Council With Administrative Lead (Partner): Not a 501(c)(3), with a council that oversees group. Council comes together with agreement as governing body. Partnership council no more than 25, no fewer than 12 people. Anyone participating in council shares goals of OTR; working together on behalf of the whole. As a governing body, would set yearly plans; engage in decision making on funding and resource development for collective projects; decisions made as a council; champion development of partnership. Administrative lead is primary fiscal administrator for partnership: NLT and KTMB would be co-leads administratively, oversee financials.

- Decision of partnership council versus stakeholders. Partners are participating to work towards the vision; stakeholders are representing agencies, organizations. Question of funding of partners vs. stakeholders. Council involvement is focused on governance of partnership (may have to be members who have no affiliation); others are stakeholders, volunteers, etc. Need to determine conflicts of interest as they pertain to yearly plans, etc. Partnership council model still with roles of stakeholders. Addendum: Stakeholder voice that speaks to the council; a working group. Who can participate on council as *neutral* parties.
- Eastern Sierra Trails Collaborative: Found it's difficult getting agency partners to sign onto collaboration. Agencies need to know staff time commitment.
- Administrative lead providing staffing function; program coordinator; agencies and organizations.
- This is not a non-profit model. Model is collaboration of public agencies. Multiple tables to be sat at, to participate in. Planning occurred with robust engagement; this model would continue that. Planning workshops as forum for other stakeholders. Council doesn't tell agencies what to do: In planning workshops, priorities are made that are then presented to the council for prioritization. But agencies might be alienated if their projects aren't highly prioritized. This backs up idea of neutral partnership council members.
- Cost-cutting issues need to be addressed within participating agencies. Could be addressed as priority to partnership council.
- Create working groups around issues.
- Lots of interest in stakeholder group. At this point in time, how many in this room would participate in partnership council:

- Another example is having voting and non-voting members similar to technical advisory committee to regional board. Partnership council serving as advisory board to regional planning commission/board.
- If OTR relies too much on city and county funding, that could go away with devastating results.
- Next step: Next meeting to plan for OTR FY 18. Goal is more collaboration. Could CPT turn into planning body?
- Differences from current organization? What will change with KTMB/NLT? Nothing to govern right now. Group needs to decide which issues it'll tackle. Will it be legal OR enforcement OR funding issues? Or will they be less divisive issues: outreach, stewardship, etc. If OTR is to truly manage river, need true support from local jurisdictions. Need backing from the people with the budgets. Important to harness the public.
- Look at example of Neighborhood Advisory Boards, use that organizational model.
- Partnership council could be small group of people (could be non-profit heavy, etc.) who are the strategic, high-level group. Then take three ex officio members (three jurisdictions) for discussions, involved in the strategic, high-level group.

News & Updates

- Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe: About to start lower river project.
- River Forum: Scheduled for Nov. 3 at Renown. Will call upon CPT members to assist with the forum.
- Executive summary of the watershed survey is complete. Will be available on OTR website under Education tab.
- Mile markers down in Reno; Sparks taking care of its own mile markers.
- NEA grant through Washoe County for ArTrail along the river.
- Life jacket stations in at Wingfield and Crissie Caughlin parks, partnership with NDOW.
- Port-a-potties to be installed in the next week (TMWA)
- Council Member Jardon created resource list for social issues
- Source Water Protection Plan has been initiated in Washoe County by NDEP.
- WC tripled case managers on river; housing is full everywhere, resources are limited

End time: 3:18 p.m.